



PAB

**POLICE
ACCOUNTABILITY
BOARD**

QUARTERLY REPORT

Date Issued: 8/6/2026

Reporting period: (4/1/2026 – 6/30/2026)

Reports received during the Q4 reporting period: 36



STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Rochester City Charter Article XVIII § 18-1, “The Police Accountability Board (PAB) is an independent office of municipal government and mechanism to investigate such complaints of police misconduct and to review and assess Rochester Police Department (RPD) patterns, practices, policies, and procedures. The Police Accountability Board shall ensure public accountability and transparency over the powers exercised by sworn officers of the Rochester Police Department.”

The Police Accountability Board (PAB) accepts reports related to officer conduct, including alleged misconduct and concerns about the practices, patterns, and policies of the Rochester Police Department. Civilian complaints are analyzed not only for individual violations but also for potential systemic issues within RPD procedures and practices.

In alignment with Article XVIII § 18-1, the PAB continues to publish monthly, quarterly, and annual data on the reports received by the Board, including any insights that support broader policy oversight and reform.

For more information and access to this report, visit www.rocpab.org

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During the fourth quarter of the 2025-2026 fiscal year, the Police Accountability Board (PAB) received 36 complaints from members of the Rochester community. While the Board's authority to investigate individual allegations of misconduct has been discontinued, this report demonstrates how complaints continue to play a critical role in identifying patterns, informing policy review, and advancing transparency.

Key Highlights

- Out of 36 complaints, 2 complaints were transmitted by the Professional Standards Section (PSS), the internal affairs of the Rochester Police Department. This contrast underscores the importance of the PAB as an independent channel of communication for the public and reflects community members' concerns about submitting complaints directly to RPD.
- 72 % of complainants did not provide address information, indicating ongoing concerns related to trust and anonymity.
- In Q4, 72% of the complainants did not provide their addresses, which is a significant increase from Q3's 48%.
- Completed and adopted the Policing and Community Surveillance: Your Right to Privacy Proposal for Change following extensive research, public engagement, and Board deliberation.
- Approved and transmitted seven policy recommendations to the Chief of Police, Mayor, and Rochester City Council to strengthen transparency, privacy protections, and accountability.
- Received formal written responses from the Rochester Police Department addressing all seven recommendations, including agreement with several key recommendations, commitments to develop Privacy Impact Assessments, publish completed assessments on the City's Open Data Portal, and provide implementation timelines for several initiatives.
-

- Expanded community engagement and public education through outreach events, presentations, neighborhood meetings, conferences, and collaborative partnerships, reaching more than 2,000 community members and strengthening relationships with residents, advocacy organizations, educational institutions, and public agencies throughout Rochester.
- Strengthened statewide leadership by engaging with the National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE) and collaborating with oversight professionals across New York State to lay the groundwork for a statewide civilian oversight consortium.
- Continued evolving as a data-informed, community-centered oversight agency, advancing accountability, transparency, and public trust through collaboration, evidence-based policy reform, and systemic oversight.

What This Means

These patterns point to potential systemic concerns in:

- An increase in complainants declining to provide their addresses may reflect heightened concerns about law enforcement oversight, potentially associated with PAB's loss of investigatory authority.
- The PAB has continued to advance its mission through policy recommendations and community outreach.

CURRENT SCOPE OF PAB's WORK

The Police Accountability Board (PAB) continues to serve the residents of Rochester as an independent civilian oversight agency dedicated to promoting accountability, transparency, and public trust in policing. In accordance with Article XVIII of the Rochester City Charter, the PAB advances its mission through complaint intake, data analysis, policy oversight, community engagement, public education, and systemic review of policing practices.

The PAB receives and documents reports from community members regarding officer conduct and concerns related to Rochester Police Department (RPD) policies, practices, and procedures. These reports are analyzed to identify trends, recurring issues, and opportunities for systemic improvement. Through research, community input, and evidence-based analysis, the PAB develops recommendations designed to strengthen policing practices, improve transparency, and enhance public confidence.

In fulfilling its Charter responsibilities, the PAB continues to:

- Receive, document, and analyze complaints from members of the public;
- Identify trends, patterns, and systemic issues affecting policing in Rochester;
- Conduct policy reviews and oversight analyses to inform organizational and community improvement;
- Develop and publish Proposals for Change that promote accountability, transparency, and effective policing;
- Engage residents through community outreach, education, and public dialogue;
- Publish data and reports that increase transparency and inform policymakers and the public;
- Collaborate with community organizations, public officials, and oversight professionals to strengthen civilian oversight; and
- Promote equitable, constitutional, and community-centered public safety practices.

LEGAL CONTEXT

As a result of the New York State Supreme Court decision issued on April 28, 2025, and subsequently affirmed by the Appellate Division on March 20, 2026, the PAB's authority to independently investigate individual allegations of police misconduct, issue subpoenas, conduct hearings, and recommend officer discipline has been limited. While litigation and legislative efforts continue, the PAB remains steadfast in carrying out its Charter responsibilities to the fullest extent permitted by law and continues to advance meaningful civilian oversight through policy analysis, public accountability, community engagement, and systemic reform.

ORGANIZATIONAL HIGHLIGHTS

The fourth quarter marked a period of significant organizational progress as the Police Accountability Board strengthened its role as Rochester's independent civilian oversight agency while expanding its influence across New York State. Despite ongoing legal limitations affecting the Board's investigatory authority, the agency continued to demonstrate that meaningful civilian oversight extends beyond investigations through strategic policy leadership, organizational collaboration, public education, and community engagement.

Organizational Leadership

The Police Accountability Board continued to strengthen its organizational capacity during the quarter through strategic leadership development and succession planning. In May 2026, Dr. Ashley N. Campbell was appointed Interim Director of Policy & Oversight, assuming leadership of the division responsible for policy analysis, systemic oversight, and reform initiatives.

Dr. Campbell's appointment strengthens the Board's executive leadership team and reflects the organization's continued investment in building internal expertise to advance evidence-based policy development, data-informed oversight, and organizational excellence. Since assuming this role, she has worked collaboratively across divisions to support the Board's strategic priorities, enhance policy initiatives, and further the agency's Charter responsibilities.

This leadership transition represents an important investment in the long-term sustainability and effectiveness of the Police Accountability Board as it continues to evolve as a nationally recognized civilian oversight agency.

Advancing Evidence-Based Policy Reform

The Board successfully completed its Policing and Community Surveillance: Your Right to Privacy Proposal for Change following extensive research, community engagement, and public input. The report recommended seven policy reforms designed to strengthen transparency, accountability, community engagement, and privacy protections related to the Rochester Police Department's use of surveillance technologies and personally identifiable information.

Collaborative Government Leadership

Following formal transmittal of the Proposal for Change, the Rochester Police Department provided written responses addressing all seven recommendations. The Chief of Police expressed agreement with several recommendations, including the development of Privacy Impact Assessments, completion of assessments for the Body-Worn Camera Program and Digital Evidence Management System, and publication of completed assessments on the City's Open Data Portal. The Department also provided projected implementation timelines and continued dialogue with the Board regarding the remaining recommendations. This collaborative exchange reflects a shared commitment to thoughtful policy development and continuous improvement.

Strengthening Community Partnerships

Throughout the quarter, the PAB expanded relationships with neighborhood organizations, advocacy groups, educational institutions, faith-based organizations, governmental partners, and community leaders. Staff participated in outreach events, conferences, presentations, and collaborative meetings that increased public awareness of the Board's mission while ensuring community voices continue to inform policy development and oversight priorities.

Expanding Organizational Capacity

The PAB continued investing in organizational excellence by strengthening cross-divisional collaboration, enhancing data-informed decision-making, and expanding staff expertise in complaint analysis, policy development, and community engagement. These efforts improve the agency's ability to fulfill its Charter responsibilities while delivering high-quality oversight services to the Rochester community.

Statewide Leadership in Civilian Oversight

The Board expanded its engagement with the National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE) and initiated conversations with oversight agencies across New York State regarding greater collaboration and information sharing. These efforts positioned the Rochester Police Accountability Board to play a leadership role in strengthening professional civilian oversight statewide and laid the groundwork for future collaborative initiatives.


Looking Ahead

As the PAB enters the next quarter, the agency will continue monitoring implementation of its policy recommendations, strengthening community partnerships, advancing data-informed oversight, expanding public education initiatives, and collaborating with local, state, and national partners to improve accountability, transparency, and public confidence in policing.


CASE MANAGEMENT

Summary of Complaints Received

In the fourth Quarter of the 25-26 fiscal year, the Police Accountability Board received 36 complaints submitted through various channels, including the online portal, Hotline, email, United States Postal Service and in person submission. April saw the largest number of complaints received, accounting for 39% of those received this Quarter, while our online portal was the most common method of complaint receipt, accounting for 50% of complaints. Complaint distribution by month and method of receipt can be seen in the graphs below.




Month of Complaint Receipt



Complaint Language

The Police Accountability Board is committed to providing accessible services to all members of the Rochester community. Between April 1st and June 30st of 2026, we received 35 complaints in English. 1 complaint was submitted in Spanish. No complaint was submitted in American Sign Language during this reporting period. While not inherently a concern, this data point may warrant ongoing monitoring to ensure equitable access for Spanish-speaking residents.




Complaint Category

Each complaint received by the PAB is categorized according to the form of misconduct alleged in the complaint. In the Fourth Quarter of the 25-26 fiscal year, the category with the greatest number of complaints received was Failure to Act (15), followed closely by Abuse of Authority (14) and Use of Force (10).

Note: a single complaint can be assigned multiple categories, depending on the substance of the associated incident. For example, a complainant may allege both Discrimination and Use of Force during an interaction with the RPD, as well as recommend a change to RPD policy. In this case, the complaint would be associated with all three categories. As a result, the total number of complaints by category in the table below (35) is greater than the number of complaints received this month (17). For more information regarding complaint categories, please see the definitions at the conclusion of this report.

Complaints by Category



The table below provides details regarding each complaint received during Q4, covering the period between April 1, 2026 and June 30, 2026. The Public Tracking Number (PTN), category of complaint, and incident ZIP code are listed for each complaint.


PTN	COMPLAINT CATEGORY	INCIDENT ZIP CODE
2026-0031	Discourtesy, Failure to Act	Unknown
2026-0032	Policy & Oversight	N/A
2026-0033	Abuse of Authority, Failure to Act, Wrongful Arrest	14611
2026-0034	Other	14605
2026-0035	Abuse of Authority	14607
2026-0036	Policy & Oversight	N/A
2026-0037	Failure to Act, Falsification	14620
2026-0038	Abuse of Authority, Other	14606
2026-0039	Failure to Act, Falsification	Unknown
2026-0040	Other	14468
2026-0041	Abuse of Authority, Use of Force	14604
2026-0042	Abuse of Authority, Use of Force, Failure to Act	Unknown
2026-0043	Failure to Act	14611
2026-0044	Discourtesy, Other	14607
2026-0045	Abuse of Authority, Failure to Act, Use of Force	14614
2026-0046	Failure to Act	14607
2026-0048	Failure to Act, Discrimination	14607
2026-0049	Abuse of Authority, Use of Force	14621
2026-0050	Failure to Act	Unknown
2026-0051	Abuse of Authority, Falsification	14624
2026-0052	Failure to Act	14606
2026-0053	Use of Force, Abuse of Authority, Failure to Act	14613
2026-0055	Abuse of Authority, Use of Force	14605
2026-0056	Discourtesy, Abuse of Authority, Failure to Act	14604
2026-0057	Failure to Act, Discourtesy	14620
2026-0058	Abuse of Authority, Discrimination, Failure to Act, Falsification, Wrongful Arrest	14611
2026-0059	Use of Force	N/A
2026-0060	Use of Force, Abuse of Authority	N/A
2026-0061	Failure to Act, Discourtesy	14605
2026-0062	Use of Force, Wrongful Arrest, Abuse of Authority	14605
2026-0063	Use of Force, Abuse of Authority	14617
2026-0064	Use of Force, Abuse of Authority	Unknown
2026-0065	Failure to Act	14604
2026-0066	Abuse of Authority	14621
2026-0067	Abuse of Authority, Use of Force	14613
2026-0068	Failure to Act, Discourtesy	14609

**Note that PTN 2025-0104 was not associated with a complaint, and was instead generated to track an information request issued to RPD, unrelated to a particular case. For this reason, it is not listed in the table above, or included in the count of monthly complaints. In order to maintain transparency in the work we do, the PAB endeavors to provide information regarding all PTNs generated by our system.*


Incident Location

The charts below illustrate the ZIP codes and quadrants where reported incidents occurred. When the location of an incident or complainant is not known, it is listed as Unknown, while Policy complaints (which have no associated incident location) are listed as N/A (or not applicable). 14607 and 14611 were the ZIP codes in which the largest number of incidents resulting in complaints received in Q4 occurred, while the Southwest was the quadrant in which the largest number of incidents resulting in complaints received in Q4 occurred.

Incident by Zip Code



Incident by Quadrant




Complainant Data


The charts below illustrate the ZIP codes and quadrants where identified complainants live. When a complaint was submitted anonymously, or it was otherwise not possible to determine the address of the complaint, it is listed as Unknown.

In Q2, 47% of complaints received did not hold a known complainant address, down from 56% in Q1. Some complainants have expressed reluctance to share their home address for fear of retaliation from RPD, while others simply chose to decline the question.

Complainant Address by Zip Code




Complainant Address by Quadrant




Complainant Age, Race and Gender

During our intake process, the Accountability & Transparency Division endeavors to collect as much demographic data as possible from our complainants, in order to appropriately track our ability to service different sectors of our community. Still, the data gathered this Quarter reveals that complainants are often hesitant to provide identifying demographic information. The charts below reflect the data gathered from Q4 complainants who were willing to share their demographic information with the PAB, compared to those who preferred not to disclose (“Unknown”).


Race and Ethnic Composition



Gender Composition



Age Composition



POLICY & OVERSIGHT

During the fourth quarter, the Policy & Oversight (P&O) Division advanced its Proposal for Change, Policing and Community Surveillance: Your Right to Privacy, which addresses the Rochester Police Department's use of surveillance technology and the collection and storage of personally identifiable information (PII). The report discusses surveillance technologies used by RPD, including blue light cameras, drone cameras, and KingFish cellular transceivers, and recommends policy measures intended to strengthen transparency, accountability, community engagement, and privacy protections.

The public input period for the Proposal for Change concluded on April 20, 2026. Following review of the public input and final recommendations, the Police Accountability Board voted in May 2026 to approve the policy recommendations. Pursuant to Rochester City Charter § 18-5(K), the Board formally transmitted the recommendations to the Chief of Police, the Mayor, and Rochester City Council on May 26, 2026.

The Board presented seven recommendations. Specifically, the Board recommended that RPD adopt a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) template based on guidance from the U.S. Department of Justice; complete PIAs for the Body-Worn Camera Program and Digital Evidence Management System as required by City of Rochester Ordinance No. 2022-285 § 5; complete and submit PIAs to City Council for future legislation or grant applications involving the collection or storage of PII; publish all PIAs on the City's Open Data Portal; conduct public hearings before implementing future surveillance technologies; conduct targeted community engagement prior to implementing new surveillance technology programs; and evaluate blue light camera locations every five years and publish the underlying hotspot analysis.

On June 25, 2026, Chief David M. Smith provided a formal written response addressing four of the seven recommendations. The Chief agreed to develop a PIA template based on U.S. Department of Justice guidance, complete PIAs for the Body-Worn Camera Program and Digital Evidence Management System once the template is finalized, and publish completed PIAs on the City's Open Data Portal. Regarding the recommendation to require PIAs for future legislation and grant applications involving PII, the Chief indicated that those requests would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

Following the Chief's response, the Board and RPD determined that a misunderstanding occurred regarding the final version of the recommendations transmitted on May 26, 2026. As a result, the Chief's response addressed four recommendations rather than all seven. RPD indicated that responses to the remaining three recommendations would be provided in July 2026.

The Board appreciates RPD's consideration of the recommendations and looks forward to continued collaboration regarding implementation. The P&O Division remains committed to developing evidence-based policy recommendations that strengthen public trust, enhance transparency, and support effective policing throughout the City of Rochester.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

During Q4, the Community Engagement Team participated in 37 outreach activities, reaching 2,030 community members. The table below includes detailing information for each event, including: the name of the event; the address where the event took place; the type of event; the date; and the number of contacts reached:

Name	Address	Event Type	Date	Contacts
MWBE Conference	123 East Main St, Rochester, NY, 14604	Network/Tabling	4/1/2026	57
Teen Empowerment Unity Jam	530 Webster Ave, Rochester, NY 14609	Network/Tabling	4/3/2026	91
Trillium Pantry	259 Monroe Ave, Rochester, NY 14607	Intro/Relationship	4/7/2026	4
Walking in God's Ministries Health Fair	10 Cady St, Rochester, NY 14608	Network/Tabling	4/10/2026	78
Transit Center Project Intake	Digital Meeting	A&T Project	4/14/2026	1
First Universalist	150 S Clinton Ave, Rochester, NY 14604	Intro/Relationship	4/16/2026	1
Connection Hour (Connected Communities)	616 N Goodman, Rochester, NY 14609	Network/Tabling	4/21/2026	31
Guest Lecture at U of R	500 Wilson Blvd, Rochester, NY 14611	General Presentation	4/22/2026	37
RAWNY Conference	150 Sawgrass Dr, Rochester, NY 14620	Network/Tabling	4/23/2026	83
MCC Community Conversation	321 State St, Rochester, NY 14608	General Presentation	4/25/2026	13
First Universalist	150 S Clinton Ave, Rochester, NY 14604	Intro/Relationship	4/30/2026	1
International Plaza - Opening Ceremonies	828 N Clinton Ave, Rochester, NY 14605	Networking/Tabling	5/3/2026	29
Juneteenth Press Conference	353 Court St, Rochester, NY 14607	Networking/Tabling	5/4/2026	22
First Universalist	150 S Clinton Ave, Rochester, NY 14604	Intro/Relationship	5/5/2026	1
Teen Empowerment Luncheon	123 E Main St, Rochester, NY 14604	Networking/Tabling	5/6/2026	122
Action in Concert Summit for Collective Impact	1000 Genesee St, Rochester, NY 14611	Networking/Tabling	5/8/2026	41

Table 2

White Rose Luncheon	123 E Main St, Rochester, NY 14604	Networking/Tabling	5/9/2026	360
East Quadrant Community Meeting	353 Court St, Rochester, NY 14607	General Presentation	5/13/2026	17
Measures for Justice	421 University Ave #1, Rochester, NY 14607	Intro/Relationship	5/14/2026	2
United Way Board Meeting	Digital Meeting	Intro/Relationship	5/19/2026	29
LGBTQ+ Community Council Meeting	170 Science Parkway, Rochester, NY 14620	Intro/Relationship	5/18/2026	21
Rainbow Union	50 Prince St, Rochester, NY 14607	Intro/Relationship	5/20/2026	1
Asian Heritage Dinner	929 S Plymouth Ave, Rochester, NY 14608	Networking/Tabling	5/27/2026	41
North West Quadrant Community Meeting	25 Driving Park Ave, Rochester, NY 14613	General Presentation	5/28/2026	6
Ease on Down	555 Thurston Rd, Rochester, NY 14619	Networking/Tabling	5/30/2026	12
ABC Partnership Discussion	400 West Ave, Rochester, NY 14611	Event/ Collaboration	6/2/2026	4
Trillium Food Pantry	259 Monroe Ave, Rochester, NY 14607	Intro/ Relationship	6/2/2026	2
19th Ward Square Fair	373 Aberdeen St, Rochester, NY 14619	Network/ Tabling	6/6/2026	217
West Side Farmers Marker	831 Genesee St, Rochester, NY 14611	Network/ Tabling	6/9/2026	54
SW Quadrant Annual Meeting	33 Dr Samuel McCree Way, Rochester, NY 14611	General Presentation	6/10/2026	31
NYCLU Partnership Discussion	121 Fitzhugh St N, Rochester, NY 14614	Event/ Collaboration	6/10/2026	1
Urban League Outreach	616 N Goodman St, Rochester, NY, 14609	Info/ Marketing Distribution	6/10/2026	43
R&B On the Lawn	373 Genesee St, Rochester, NY 14611	Network/ Tabling	6/11/2026	25
Father Tracy Center	821 N Clinton Ave, Rochester, NY 14605	Intro/ Relationship	6/18/2026	4
Juneteenth Festival	353 Court St, Rochester, NY 14607	Network/ Tabling	6/20/2026	500
NE Quadrant Community Meeting	500 Norton St, Rochester, NY 14621	General Presentation	6/24/2026	10
100 Men of Faith	121 Driving Park Ave, Rochester, NY 14613	Network/ Tabling	6/30/2026	38
Total:				2,030

CASE STATUS DEFINITIONS

Abuse of Authority: This wide-ranging category pertains to an officer's conduct that is harmful and that serves no lawful or appropriate law enforcement purpose. It may include using a position of power for personal and unprofessional purposes.

Board: The Police Accountability Board; such Board is a public agency within the meaning of New York Civil Rights Law §50-a.

Case Management Review: The report is under review by the case management division or is awaiting assignment to a division for review.

Complaint: A written or oral report regarding alleged police misconduct made by any individual or group of individuals.

Discourtesy: This category pertains to verbal and non-verbal communication by officers which is characterized by harsh, profane, insolent, or intentionally insulting language.

Disposition: The status of a case, and if concluded, the outcome.

Discrimination: The unlawful targeting or treatment of a person or persons due to their membership in a protected class, such as discrimination based on race.

Failure to Act or Respond: This category pertains to complaints regarding an officer's failure to respond to a call for help, an officer's failure to identify themselves upon request, or failure to intervene when there is a duty to do so, such as when a person in custody requires medical attention.

Falsification and Improper Documentation: This category includes allegations that officers made inaccurate statements orally or in writing. These complaints may allege that an officer made material misstatements of fact or law, failed to document an incident that should have been documented, or documented the incident improperly, whether in writing or orally, in a police report, official statement, or other document.

Intake: PAB's case management team initially handles reports from the public. Case management takes reports that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or email.

Misconduct: Any acts or omissions by an officer of the Rochester Police Department that are unlawful, contrary to Rochester Police Department policy, or otherwise inappropriate.

Non-Investigable: When the PAB is unable to obtain sufficient information from a reporter, determines the report is outside of PAB jurisdiction, or if the report does not contain an allegation of officer misconduct or RPD policy recommendations, the case is closed as non-investigable.

Officers: The sworn officers of the RPD, including but not limited to the Chief, deputies, captains, lieutenants, commanders, and all other sworn law enforcement professionals.

Other Misconduct: This catch-all classification concerns any type of alleged misconduct that does not fit into any of the other categories, including failure to identify and littering.

Oversight Investigation: The Policy and Oversight Division is conducting a policy-level investigation that addresses a specific subject or aims to answer a particular question.

Policy: This category of complaint concerns systemic patterns, practices, policies and procedures of RPD.

Policy and Oversight Review: The complaint is under review by the Policy and Oversight Division for a Proposal for Change or Oversight Investigation.

Proposal for Change: The Policy and Oversight division is preparing a Proposal for Change, which is a community and data-driven process where the PAB makes formal recommendations to change policies and practices that impact the Rochester Police Department.

Professional Standard Section (PSS): The internal affairs section of the Rochester Police Department.

Response to Protests: This category of complaint pertains to incidents of police activity during protests or other public assemblies such as parades and festivals, which may affect large groups of people, rather than a single individual.

Request for Information: The Accountability and Transparency Division has submitted a request for evidence from RPD and other relevant agencies.

Search and Seizure: This category is concerning the search of a person or property including but not limited to their home, vehicle, business, or items in their constructive, actual or presumed possession.

Sexual Misconduct: This category includes allegations of assault, coercion, or harassment of a sexual nature.

Use of Force: This category includes any physical force or threat of physical force used by RPD officers.

Wrongful Arrest: This category includes incidents in which someone may have been arrested or charged with a crime without legal or factual basis.

**Note: Due to the court decision dated April 28, 2025, affirmed on March 20, 2026, several definitions have been removed or revised to reflect the current limitations on the Police Accountability Board's authority. As the PAB is not currently legally permitted to conduct investigations of individualized allegations of police misconduct, hold panel reviews, issue findings, or make disciplinary determinations, any language implying these functions has been updated to align with the Board's current work.*

The PAB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible. Feedback can be submitted via email to PABFeedback@CityofRochester.gov.